IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 731 OF 2007
IN
CRIMINAL APPEAL NO. 1228 OF 2005

Liladhar M. Shirke & Ors. ..Applicants
(Ori. Accused
Nos. 1, 13, 14)

versus

The State of Maharashtra ..Respondents

Mr. A. P. Mundargi, Senior Advocate i/b. Mr. Ganesh Gole for the Applicants / Ori. Accused Nos. 1, 13 and 14.

Mr. P. S. Hingorani, APP for the Respondents - State.

CORAM : D. G. DESHPANDE, &
SMT. NISHITA MHATRE, JJ.

DATE : 27TH JUNE, 2007.

P.C.

1. Heard Advocate for the Applicants / Original Accused Nos. 1, 13 and 14 and the learned APP for the State.

2. Mr. Mundargi does not press this Application in respect of Accused No. 13, at this juncture.

3. So far as Accused No. 1 and 14 are concerned, Mr. Mundargi contended that role attributed to these accused is equal to that of other accused, viz. accused Nos. 3, 7, 9, 11 and 22 who have been granted bail by this Court. He has filed a chart in this regard long back, copy of which was given to the APP. APP states that the statement / chart filed by Mr. Mundargi is factually correct. In view of this fact, on the ground of parity, we allow this application in respect of Accused nos. 1 and 14. Hence, the following order:

ORDER

Bail Application of Accused No. 13 is not pressed, at this juncture.

Bail Application is allowed in respect of Accused Nos. 1 and 14.

Applicants / Original Accused Nos. 1 and 14 be released on bail, provided they give an undertaking in writing to the concerned

Police Station that they will not enter the precincts of Raigad District without prior permission of this Court, at least for a period of two years.

Applicants / Original Accused Nos. 1 and 14 be released on bail on their furnishing P.R. Bond in the sum of Rs.20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand) each with two solvent sureties each in the like amount to the satisfaction of the trial court.

. Application is disposed of accordingly.

(SMT. NISHITA MHATRE, J.) (D.G. DESHPANDE, J.)